## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

CORN	E: SYNGENTA AG MIR 162 N LITIGATION Document Relates To:	) ) ) )	MDL No. 2591  Case No. 14-md-2591-JWL
	The Kansas Class Trial	_)	
	<u>VERDIC</u>	Γ FOR!	<u>M</u>
We, the jury, impaneled and sworn in the above-entitled case, upon our oaths, do make the following unanimous answers to the questions propounded by the Court:			
1.	Did Class Plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, the requirements onegligence, as set forth in Instructions No. 13-15?		
	Yes		No
	r answer to Question 1 is YES, please a s sign and date the form below, and you		
2.	Did Syngenta prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, its defense of a uperseding cause, as set forth in Instruction No. 16?		
	Yes		No

If your answer to Question 2 is NO, please answer Question 3; if the answer is YES, please sign and date the form below, and your deliberations are complete.

3. State the amount of damages that Class Plaintiffs proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, as set forth in Instruction No. 18.

If your answer to Question 3 is greater than zero, please answer Question 4; if not, please sign and date the form below, and your deliberations are complete.

4. Did Class plaintiffs prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that they should be awarded punitive damages in this case, as set forth in Instruction No. 19?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_

If your answer to Question 4 is YES, please answer Question 5; if the answer is NO, please sign and date the form below, and your deliberations are complete.

5. State the amount of punitive damages that Class Plaintiffs proved, by clear and convincing evidence, as set forth in Instruction No. 19.

\$

Your deliberations are complete. Please have the foreperson sign and date this verdict form and notify the Court that you have reached a verdict.

6-23-17 Date Earnessen